

SPEAKER BARRETT: Shall 574A be advanced? All in favor say aye. Opposed no. Carried, the bill is advanced. Next bill, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator, the first item I have on E & R...are E & R amendments to LB 54, excuse me.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I move that the E & R amendments to LB 54 be adopted.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Shall the E & R amendments to LB 54 be adopted? All in favor say aye. Opposed no. Ayes have it, carried, they are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, I now have an amendment to the bill by Senator Wehrbein. Senator, this is your amendment on page 1140 of the Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, I have an amendment that is being also passed out. Just a second. Mr. President, I have two parts to this, one is to take away the...actually the second part strikes the Goodrich amendment, which was put on the other day to require the Department of Agriculture to furnish the sign. And I feel that this turns out to be kind of an undue burden on the Department of Agriculture. It's not a bad idea to have a consistent sign policy. But, on the other hand, I'm going to go back to the first part of my amendment, and that is to say that it doesn't require just a posted sign to fulfill the requirements of this.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Excuse me, Senator Wehrbein. (Gavel.)

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you. I felt that this kind of put an undue burden on the restaurants in requiring that it be specifically a sign. The way I interpreted the bill, especially after the addition of Senator Goodrich's amendment requiring this sign, it became an undue burden to have another sign on the wall some place. It's my feeling that if we have a tent card, or a menu card, or something written in the menu, or maybe some other innovative ways to require the outline of what cooking...or the posting of the sign would suffice. In other